	Not an Official		Not an Official C	ourt Docum	
Official Court D		Omeni	neut Karan		rt Document
	l Court Document				
	an Official CSup	reme Court	of Missouri		

en banc

al Court Document. Not an Official Court Document. Not an Official Court Document. Not an May 27, 2025

	Jourg Dreeument – Not an Official Court Document
State ex rel. Michael Kehoe, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Missouri, et al.,	Official Churc Decument Not an Official Court E
Relators, Portage Relators,	Notan Official Court Document Notan Officia
VS.	No. SC101026
The Honorable Jerri Zhang,	
Respondent.	Court Document Not an Official Court Documer

Not an Official Count Dodume PEREMPTORY WRIT

Having reviewed the writ petition, the suggestions in support thereto, and the suggestions in opposition and finding it is necessary, in the interest of justice, to dispense with further briefing and argument, *see* Rule 84.24(i), the Court issues this peremptory writ.

In State ex rel. Director of Revenue v. Gabbert, 925 S.W.2d 838, 839 (Mo. banc 1996), this Court relied on Dataphase Systems, Inc. v. C L Systems, Inc., 640 F.2d 109, 113 (8th Cir. 1981), in setting forth the standard for issuing preliminary injunctive relief. Since this Court's decision in Gabbert, the Eighth Circuit has rejected the Dataphase standard and applied a more rigorous standard when the relief sought is a preliminary injunction to enjoin the implementation of a duly enacted state statute. See Planned Parenthood Minn., N.D., S.D. v. Rounds, 530 F.3d 724, 731-33 (8th Cir. 2008). When "a preliminary injunction is sought to enjoin the implementation of a duly enacted state statute," the court must "make a threshold finding that a

party is likely to prevail on the merits." *Id.* at 732-33. This Court hereby holds the correct standard is: (1) the threat of irreparable harm to the moving party; (2) balancing this harm with any injury an injunction would inflict on other interested parties; (3) the moving party is likely to prevail on the merits; and (4) the effect on the public interest. *Id.* at 729 n.3, 731-33.

Accordingly, this Court hereby orders Respondent to vacate her orders granting preliminary injunctive relief with respect to the enjoinment of the state statutes and regulations identified therein and reevaluate Comprehensive Health of Planned Parenthood Great Plains and Planned Parenthood Great Rivers-Missouri's request for preliminary injunctive relief in light of this standard.

No motions pursuant to Rule 84.17 shall be filed in this matter.

et an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document - Not an Official Court Document

Day – to – Day

mary R. Russell

Mary R. Russell Chief Justice

STATE OF MISSOURI - SCT.:

I, BETSY LEDGERWOOD, Clerk of the Supreme Court of Missouri, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the order of said court, delivered in the foregoing entitled cause, on the 27th day of May, 2025, as fully as the same appears on file in my office.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said

Supreme Court. Done at office in the City of Jefferson, State aforesaid, this 27th day of May, 2025.

Millians

Betry edgewood, Clerk

Bam Wagzon , Deputy Cleri