UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI NORTHERN DIVISION

NATIONAL RAILROAD)
PASSENGER CORPORATION)
(AMTRAK) and BNSF RAILWAY)
COMPANY,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Plaintiff,))
v.) CASE NO.
MS CONTRACTING, LLC,)
)
Defendant.)

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiffs, National Railroad Passenger Corporation ("Amtrak") and BNSF Railway Company and for their Complaint against MS Contracting, LLC ("MS Contracting") state as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff National Railroad Passenger Corporation ("Amtrak") is a corporation created by an Act of Congress, 45 U.S.C. § 501 *et seq.*, now codified at 49 U.S.C. § 24101 *et seq.*, and the United States government owns more than half of Amtrak's capital stock. Amtrak has its principal place of business located in the District of Columbia and possesses legal capacity to sue.
- 2. Plaintiff BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") is a corporation doing business in the State of Missouri, incorporated in the State of Delaware, and having its principal place of business in the State of Texas.
- 3. Defendant MS Contracting, LLC ("MS Contracting") is a Missouri Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business in Brookfield, Linn County, Missouri. MS Contracting may be served with process through its registered agent, Michael E. Sattman, 25851

Highway M, Brookfield, Missouri 64628.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over the claims of plaintiff Amtrak in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1349. The Court also has diversity subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because this action is between citizens of different states, and because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. This Court's exercise of jurisdiction over the claims of plaintiff Amtrak is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 6. Venue is properly laid in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because it is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred, and because MS Contracting resides within the Eastern District of Missouri.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. This case arises from the derailment of an Amtrak passenger train on June 27, 2022.
- 8. Amtrak provides passenger rail service by utilizing track owned by other railroads in the State of Missouri, including BNSF.
- 9. BNSF owns railroad tracks in the State of Missouri, including the track on which the incident and derailment described herein occurred.
- 10. MS Contracting owns and operates a contracting company which is located at 25851 County Road M in Brookfield, Missouri 64628.
- 11. On June 27, 2022, on behalf of and at the direction of MS Contracting, Billy Dean Barton II ("Barton"), an employee of MA Contracting, was operating a 2007 Kenworth dump truck

owned by MS Contracting bearing VIN# 1NKWXBEX97J177480 (the "Dump Truck"),

- 12. While utilizing the Dump Truck loaded with rock to make a delivery for and on behalf of MS Contracting, Barton attempted to cross a BNSF railroad grade crossing identified as U.S. Department of Transportation Crossing No. 005284Y, (the "grade crossing") near Mendon, Missouri.
- 13. Despite the fact that it was unsafe, careless and reckless to do so because of the clearly visible approaching Amtrak Train 4, Barton failed to yield the right of way to the approaching Amtrak Southwest Chief Train 4 ("Train 4"), and instead attempted to cross the grade crossing which resulted in a collision between the Dump Truck and Train 4, causing Train 4 to derail.
- 14. Train 4 consisted of two locomotives and eight cars, and carried 289 occupants, including passengers and train crew members.
- 15. The collision between the Dump Truck and Train 4 and the train's subsequent derailment caused fatalities and personal injuries to Amtrak passengers and employees as well as significant property damage, both real and personal, including significant damages to the BNSF track structure and the passenger cars and locomotives of the Amtrak Train 4.
- 16. At all relevant times to this Complaint, the actions of Barton were: (1) within the course and scope of his employment with MS Contracting; (2) with MS Contracting's authority, consent, and knowledge; and (3) for MS Contracting's benefit and under its direction and control.
- 17. At all times relevant to this complaint, the Dump Truck was owned and operated by, on behalf of MS Contracting, for the benefit of and/or at the direction of MS Contracting.
- 18. As a result of the tortious conduct of the defendant as alleged herein, Amtrak has incurred damages well in excess of \$75,000.

19. As a result of the tortious conduct of the defendant as alleged herein, BNSF has incurred damages well in excess of \$75,000.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE

- 20. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 19 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 21. MS Contracting owed a duty of reasonable care to BNSF, Amtrak and the passengers and crew aboard Amtrak Train 4.
- 22. MS Contracting owed the highest degree of care to BNSF, Amtrak, and the passengers and crew aboard Amtrak Train 4.
- 23. MS Contracting breached its duty of care to BNSF, Amtrak and the passengers and crew aboard Amtrak Train 4.
- 24. At all times relevant, MS Contracting negligently, carelessly, and recklessly operated the Dump Truck, causing the collision with and derailment of Amtrak Train 4.
- 25. At all relevant times, MS Contracting, its agents, officers and/or employees negligently, carelessly and recklessly failed to properly maintain, inspect and/or repair the Dump Truck, causing the collision and derailment of Amtrak Train 4.
- 26. At all relevant times, MS Contracting, its agents, officers and/or employees negligently, carelessly and recklessly failed to properly train and supervise its employees, including Bill Barton, causing the collision and derailment of Amtrak Train 4.
- 27. At all relevant times, MS Contracting, its agents, officers and/or employees negligently, carelessly and recklessly failed to adopt, promulgate and enforce policies and procedures regarding the proper operation of its vehicles and trucks, including the Dump Truck, at railroad crossings, causing the collision and derailment of Amtrak Train 4.

- 28. MS Contracting and its agents, officers, or employees were negligent, grossly negligent, and/or reckless in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. failing to use the highest degree of care in the operation of the Dump Truck in violation of § 304.012 RSMo;
 - b. operating the Dump Truck in a careless and imprudent manner in violation of § 304.012 RSMo.;
 - c. failing to stop at the railroad crossing in violation of a posted stop sign and § 304.351 RSMo.;
 - d. failing to stop at the railroad crossing at a point between 15 and 50 feet of the nearest rail of the railroad track and not proceed until it was safe to do so in violation of § 304.035.1 RSMo;
 - e. failing to stop at the railroad crossing at a point between 15 and 50 feet of the nearest rail of the railroad track despite the fact that Amtrak Train 4 was clearly visible and in hazardous proximity to the crossing;
 - f. failing to stop at the railroad crossing at a point between 15 and 50 feet of the nearest rail of the railroad track despite the presence of traffic signs and/or, devices at the railroad crossing;
 - g. operating the Dump truck through the railroad crossing without sufficient undercarriage clearance necessary to prevent the undercarriage of the vehicle from contacting the railroad crossing in violation of § 304.035.4 RSMo;
 - h. operating the Dump Truck, a commercial motor vehicle, at a rate of speed which did not permit the Dump Truck to stop before reaching the nearest rail of the railroad crossing in violation of § 304.035.5 RSMo;

- i. driving the Dump truck upon or over the railroad crossing without taking due caution to ascertain that the course was clear in violation of § 304.035.5
 RSMo;
- j. failing to observe, heed and comply with the clearly visible and lawfully placed railroad crossing warning signs;
- k. failing to observe and hear the approaching Amtrak Train 4;
- 1. failing to yield the right-of-way to the approaching Amtrak Train 4;
- m. failing to maintain control of the Dump Truck;
- n. failing to keep a proper lookout;
- o. negligently operating the vehicle while utilizing or otherwise being distracted by an electronic wireless communications device;
- p. failing to observe, hear and heed Amtrak Train 4 warning signals, including the train's horn, bells and lights;
- q. failing to train and supervise its employees, including Barton, in the proper procedures for operating the Dump Truck in a safe and prudent manner, and in particular, in the operation of the Dump Truck at railroad crossings;
- r. failing to supervise, test, observe and otherwise ensure that its employees, including Barton, were sufficiently qualified, knowledgeable and understood and followed the proper procedures for operating the Dump Truck in a safe and prudent manner, and in particular at railroad crossings;
- s. failing to properly inspect, maintain, and/or repair the Dump Truck to ensure it operated in a safe manner; and
- t. failing to follow proper loading procedures for the Dump Truck;

- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of MS Contracting, its employees and agents, Amtrak's rolling stock and locomotives sustained significant damage, Amtrak incurred labor costs, suffered delays and disruption to its service, and has been or will be subjected to other economic and financial losses due to the collision, including but not limited to the costs of making alternative travel arrangements for its passengers and crew, medical and related expenses for its passengers and crew, the costs of responding to the collision, and damages resulting from the loss of use of its equipment.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of MS Contracting, its employees and agents, BNSF's railroad track sustained significant damage, BNSF incurred labor costs, suffered delays and disruptions to its service, and has been or will be subjected to other economic and financial losses due to the collision, including but not limited to the costs of responding to the collision and damages resulting from the loss of use of its equipment.
 - 31. The aforementioned damages to Amtrak exceed \$75,000.
 - 32. The aforementioned damages to BNSF exceed \$75,000.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs National Railroad Passenger Corporation ("Amtrak") and BNSF Railway Company respectfully pray for judgment in their favor and against defendant MS Contracting, LLC in an amount exceeding \$75,000; for their costs of this action; and for such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs request a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

/ / 6	_				
<u>/s/ Sean P. F</u>	<u>lamer</u>				
Sean P. Hamer	MO # 48153				
Kelly L. Murphy	MO # 75573				
Scott R. Ast	MO # 51699				
Paula Brown	MO # 45870				
Alex McKenna	MO # 72024				
SCHARNHORST AST 1	KENNARD GRIFFIN, P.C.				
1100 Walnut, Suite	1950				
Kansas City, Missouri 64106					
Telephone: (816) 26	8-9400				
Facsimile: (816) 268	3-9409				
Email: shamer@sak	g.com				
kmurphy@sa	nkg.com				
pbrown@sak	<u>rg.com</u>				
sast@sakg.co	<u>om</u>				
amckenna@s	sakg.com				
Attorneys for Plainti	ffs				

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21) Case: 2:22-cv-00037-NAB CPOT#: 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	CS					
National Passenger Railroad Passenger Corp dba An				ak MS Contracting, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff District of Columbi				County of Residence	ce of I	irst Liste	ed Defendant L	inn Count	v. MO	
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				•	(IN U.S. PI	LAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)	,	
				NOTE: IN LAND (THE TRAC	COND CT OF	EMNATIO LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T VOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known	n)					
Sean P. Hamer,	Scharnhorst Ast Ke	nnard & Griffin, 1	100							
Walnut, Ste. 195	50, Kansas City, MO	64106 816-268-9	9400							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF 1 (For Diversity Cases Only		NCIPA		(Place an "X" in and One Box for		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiza	en of This State	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or P	rinainal Placa	PTF	DEF 4
riamun	(U.S. Government)	ivoi a Fariy)	CILIZE	en of This State	1		of Business In		□ 4	□4
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2	<u> </u>	Incorporated and	Principal Place	<u> </u>	5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)					of Business In	Another State		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Oi	nly)	10.	eigh country	Cli	ck here	for: Nature of S	Suit Code De	scription	<u></u> 1 <u>S</u> .
CONTRACT		ORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	7	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	R STATUT	ES
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury -	Y 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	, 🏻	422 App 423 With	eal 28 USC 158	375 False 0 376 Qui Ta		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	69	0 Other	゚ └		USC 157	3729(C
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/					LLECTUAL CRTY RIGHTS	400 State I		nment
2 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			F	820 Cop		410 Antitr 430 Banks		ing
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability				830 Pate		450 Comm		_
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Injury Product					nt - Abbreviated Drug Application	460 Depor 470 Racke		nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability				840 Trac		_ `	ot Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards			end Trade Secrets	480 Consu	mer Credit SC 1681 or	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	H'1	Act		Act	of 2016	485 Teleph		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	x 380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management			L SECURITY		ction Act	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	h ₇₄	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act	Н		. (1395ff) ck Lung (923)	490 Cable 850 Securi		nodities/
190 Franchise	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical	Н		VC/DIWW (405(g))			iodities/
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	DDICONED DETITION	70	Leave Act			D Title XVI	890 Other	-	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement		865 KSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		FEDERA	AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate					es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act	ation	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General					Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitr 899 Admir		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		26	USC 7609	Act/Re	eview or A	ppeal of
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		 Naturalization Applicati Other Immigration 	ion			Agenc 950 Consti	y Decision	
	Other	550 Civil Rights	" H'	Actions				_	Statutes	OI .
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of								
V ODICIN DI "YELL	<u> </u>	Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i. 1 Original 2 Res	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Remanded from	□4 Reins	stated or 5 Trans	sferred	l from	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict - 8	Multidis	strict
		Appellate Court	Reop		her Di		Litigation Transfer		Litigation Direct F	on -
		ntute under which you ar	e filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional s	statutes	unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	nuse: aintiff rolling stock as a re	sult of De	fendant employee's neç	gligend	e				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	D)	EMAND \$			HECK YES only			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	.s, r.k.cv.r.				Л	URY DEMAND	: X Yes	∐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY C	DF RECORD		_	_			
6/30/2022		/s/ Sean P. Hamer								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JU	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

Eastern District of Missouri						
National Railroad Passenger (BNSF Railway (Company					
Plaintiff						
v. MS Contractin	g, LLC	Civil Action No.				
Defendant	ţ.					
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address)	MS Contracting, LLC Michael E. Sattman, Registe 25851 Highway M Brookfield, MO 64628	ered Agent				
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,						
whose name and address are:	Sean P. Hamer Scharnhorst Ast Kennard & 1100 Walnut, Ste. 1950 Kansas City, MO 64106	Griffin				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
		CLERK OF COURT				
Data						
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·							
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the individual at	(place)						
	on (date)								
	☐ I left the summons	_							
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name), a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there.								
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)								
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf							
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the sum:	mons unexecuted because		; or					
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: